Leonard Law, PC

27

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Pursuant to Local Rule 144 and the Court's Order on the parties' prior stipulation (ECF No. 105), Plaintiffs Monica Eisenstecken, Tahoe Stewards, LLC, David Benedict, Angela Lynn Benedict, Successor in Interest, Tahoe for Safer Tech and Environmental Health Trust (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Joanne Marchetta, Marsha Berkbigler and Sue Novasel (collectively, the "TRPA Defendants") and Nominal Defendants Guilliam Nel and Sacramento-Valley Limited Partnership dba Verizon Wireless (collectively, the "Verizon Defendants"), through their respective undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

On July 31, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their document titled "Fourth Amended Complaint, Petition for Writ of Mandate, Declaratory Relief and Injunctive Relief" (the "Fourth Amended Complaint," ECF No. 103).

This is the parties' second request for an extension of time to file responses to the Fourth Amended Complaint. On the parties' previous stipulation, the Court granted Defendants and Nominal Defendants a 28-day extension of time to respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint ("First Extension," ECF No. 105). Pursuant to the First Extension (ECF Nos. 104, 105), Defendants' and Nominal Defendants' response(s), if any, to the Fourth Amended Complaint are currently due on or before October 10, 2025. The First Extension states, "If the parties need additional time to engage in further settlement discussions, they will file a future stipulation for extension of time on or before October 10, 2025." The parties file this second request in compliance with that language.

Plaintiffs and the TRPA Defendants continue to engage in settlement discussions that, if successful, would result in the dismissal of this action with prejudice. Additional time is needed to consider and discuss settlement proposals. To allow these parties to dedicate their time and resources towards a potential settlement, rather than in furtherance of continued litigation, the parties seek to extend the existing deadline for Defendants and Nominal Defendants to respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint.

///

Case 2:20-cv-02349-DJC-CKD Document 107 Filed 09/23/25 Page 3 of 4

NOW THEREFORE,

The parties stipulate and agree that the due date for all Defendants and Nominal Defendants to respond to the Fourth Amended Complaint shall be extended by 28 days. All Defendants and Nominal Defendants shall file their response(s), if any, to the Fourth Amended Complaint on or before November 7, 2025.

If the parties need additional time to engage in further settlement discussions, they will file a future stipulation for extension of time on or before November 7, 2025.

[Continued on following page]

12 /// 13 /// 14 /// 15 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

16

Leonard Law, PC

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Stipulation and Order to Extend Time to File Responses to Fourth Amended Complaint (SECOND REQUEST)

Page 3